

United States Patent and Trademark Office

tels

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,436	03/03/2004	Alfred E. Crouch	800736 2435 EXAMINER	
23372 75	590 08/10/2005			
TAYLOR RUSSELL & RUSSELL, P.C.			PATIDAR, JAY M	
	07 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS ROAD JILDING TWO SUITE 250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX	8759		2862	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/708,436	CROUCH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of this commission than the	Jay M. Patidar	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	oril 2005.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31 is/are withdrawn from the street of the	rom consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 <i>April 2005</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

- 1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment received on April 26, 2005.
- 2. The proposed drawing correction, filed on April 26, 2005, has been approved by the examiner.
- 3. Newly submitted claim 31 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claim 31 contains software programs for analyzing and interpreting the noise signals (note, such software programs are not mentioned in the specification).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 31 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is vague from the specification as to how corresponding locations of stress in the pipeline wall are determined.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,436 Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

5. Claims 1,14 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 1,14,27, it is unclear as to how corresponding locations of stress in the pipeline wall are determined.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 6. Claims 1-30 are allowed.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2862

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Way M. Patidar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2862

Email: Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov

August 4, 2005